

SACRAMENTO GROUNDWATER AUTHORITY
REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS
Thursday, October 14, 2021; 9:00 a.m.

AGENDA

The Board will discuss all items on this agenda, and may take action on any of those items, including information items and continued items. The Board may also discuss other items that do not appear on this agenda but will not act on those items unless action is urgent, and a resolution is passed by a two-thirds (2/3) vote declaring that the need for action arose after posting of this agenda.

The public shall have the opportunity to directly address the Board on any item of interest before or during the Board's consideration of that item. Public comment on items within the jurisdiction of the Board is welcomed, subject to reasonable time limitations for each speaker. Public documents relating to any open session item listed on this agenda that are distributed to all or a majority of the members of the Board of Directors less than 72 hours before the meeting are available for public inspection on SGA's website. In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, if you have a disability and need a disability-related modification or accommodation to participate in this meeting, please contact cpartridge@rwah2o.org. Requests must be made as early as possible, and at least one full business day before the start of the meeting.

Meeting Information:

SGA Board Meeting
Thu, Oct 14, 2021 9:00 AM - 11:00 AM (PDT)

Please join my meeting from your computer, tablet or smartphone.
<https://global.gotomeeting.com/join/830412549>

You can also dial in using your phone.
United States: [+1 \(646\) 749-3122](tel:+16467493122)

Access Code: 830-412-549

- 1. CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL**
- 2. AUTHORIZE A TELECONFERENCE MEETING**
Action: Adopt Resolution 2021-02, including requisite findings, to continue to hold meetings of the Board of Directors via teleconference pursuant to Assembly Bill 361 until such time as the State of Emergency resulting from the COVID-19 pandemic no longer impacts the ability of Board members and the public to safely meet in person.
- 3. PUBLIC COMMENT:** Members of the public who wish to address the Board may do so at this time. Please keep your comments to less than three minutes.
- 4. CONSENT CALENDAR**
Minutes of August 12, 2021 meeting
Action: Approve Consent Calendar
- 5. GROUNDWATER SUSTAINABILITY PLAN IMPLEMENTATION MEMORANDUM OF AGREEMENT**
Information and Discussion: Brett Storey, West Yost and Rob Swartz, Manager of Technical Services

6. DRAFT GROUNDWATER SUSTAINABILITY PLAN

Presentation and Discussion: Rob Swartz, Manager of Technical Services

7. GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT PROGRAM UPDATE

Information and Discussion: Rob Swartz, Manager of Technical Services

8. SACRAMENTO CENTRAL GROUNDWATER AUTHORITY

Discussion: Jim Peifer, Executive Director

9. APPOINTMENT OF NOMINATIONS COMMITTEE FOR 2022 SGA OFFICERS

Action: Chair Ewart to Appoint Nominations Committee for 2022 SGA Officers

10. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT

11. DIRECTORS' COMMENTS

ADJOURNMENT

Next SGA Board of Director's Meetings

October 14, 2021, 2:00 p.m., SGA Special Board Meeting - Getting to Know SCGA, at the RWA/SGA office, 5620 Birdcage Street, Ste. 110, Citrus Heights. The location is subject to change depending on the COVID-19 emergency.

December 9, 2021, 9:00 a.m. SGA Board Meeting, at the RWA/SGA office, 5620 Birdcage Street, Ste. 110, Citrus Heights. The location is subject to change depending on the COVID-19 emergency.

Notification will be emailed when the SGA electronic packet is complete and posted on the SGA website at <https://www.sgah2o.org/meetings/board-meetings/>.

2021 SGA BOARD MEMBERS

Organization	Representative/Alternate	Appointing Authority
California American Water	S. Audie Foster Christina Baril (alternate)	Sacramento City Council
Carmichael Water District	Paul Selsky Jeff Nelson (alternate)	Sacramento County
Citrus Heights Water District	Caryl Sheehan, David Wheaton (alternate)	Citrus Heights City Council
City of Folsom	Marcus Yasutake Vice Chair Kerri Howell (alternate)	Folsom City Council
City of Sacramento	Jeff Harris Brett Ewart (alternate) Chair Larry Carr (alternate)	Sacramento City Council
County of Sacramento	Sue Frost Darrell Eck (alterante) Linda Dorn (alternate)	Sacramento County
Del Paso Manor Water District	Robert Matteoli Vacant (alternate)	Sacramento City Council
Fair Oaks Water District	Randy Marx Michael McRae (alternate)	Sacramento County
Golden State Water Company	Paul Schubert Lawrence Dees (alternate)	Sacramento City Council
Natomas Central MWC	Matt Lauppe Brett Gray (alternate)	Sacramento City Council
Orange Vale Water Company	John Wingerter Craig Davis (alternate)	Sacramento County
Rio Linda/Elverta CWD	Mary Harris Robert Reisig (alternate)	Sacramento County
Sacramento Suburban Water District	Bob Wichert Kevin Thomas (alternate) Dave Jones (alternate) Craig Locke (alternate) Kathleen McPherson (alternate)	Sacramento City Council
San Juan Water District	Ted Costa Pam Tobin (alternate) Marty Hanneman (alternate)	Sacramento County
Agriculture	Mike DeWit	Sacramento County
Self-Supplied Industry	Larry Johnson	Sacramento City Council

AGENDA ITEM 2: AUTHORIZE A TELECONFERENCE MEETING

Action: Action: Adopt Resolution 2021-02, including requisite findings, to continue to hold meetings of the Board of Directors via teleconference pursuant to Assembly Bill 361 until such time as the State of Emergency resulting from the COVID-19 pandemic no longer impacts the ability of Board members and the public to safely meet in person.

Attachment:
Resolution 2021-02

RESOLUTION NO. 2021-02

AUTHORIZING CONTINUED UTILIZATION OF TELECONFERENCING FOR MEETINGS OF THE SACRAMENTO GROUNDWATER AUTHORITY BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNDER ASSEMBLY BILL 361 UNTIL SUCH TIME AS THE STATE OF EMERGENCY RESULTING FROM THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC NO LONGER IMPACTS THE ABILITY OF MEETING ATTENDEES TO MEET SAFELY IN PERSON

WHEREAS, on March 4, 2020, Governor Gavin Newsom proclaimed a State of Emergency under the California Emergency Service Act in response to the threat of the COVID-19 pandemic; and

WHEREAS, on June 11, 2021, Governor Newsom issued Executive Order N-08-21, which repealed or modified certain provisions of previously issued Executive Orders related to the pandemic and extended certain provisions so as to enable the State of California to continue to respond to the COVID-19 pandemic; and

WHEREAS, Paragraph 42 of Executive Order N-08-21 suspended provisions of the Ralph M. Brown Act at California Government Code section 54953 and provided that governing bodies of local public agencies in the State of California could utilize teleconferencing to hold public meetings in place of in-person meetings, subject to certain requirements; and

WHEREAS, Executive Order N-08-21 specified that it would remain in effect through September 30, 2021; and

WHEREAS, on September 16, 2021, Governor Newsom signed Assembly Bill 361 (AB 361) into law; and

WHEREAS, AB 361 provides that a governing body of a local public agency may conduct public meetings via teleconferencing in any of the following circumstances: (A) the governing body holds a meeting during a proclaimed state of emergency, and state or local officials have imposed or recommended measures to promote social distancing; or (B) the governing body holds a meeting during a proclaimed state of emergency for the purpose of determining, by majority vote, whether as a result of the emergency, meeting in person would present imminent risks to the health or safety of attendees; or (C) the governing body holds a meeting during a proclaimed state of emergency and has previously determined, by majority vote, that, as a result of the emergency, meeting in person would present imminent risks to the health or safety of attendees; and

WHEREAS, Governor Newsom's March 4, 2020, proclamation of a State of Emergency is still in effect; and

WHEREAS, both the California Department of Industrial Relations, Division of Occupational Safety and Health and the Sacramento County Public Health Department are currently recommending measures to promote social distancing at worksites; and

WHEREAS, Sacramento Groundwater Authority Board (Authority) meetings, closed session meetings, special meetings, and workshops are attended by Authority Board members, Authority employees and members of the public; and

WHEREAS, on September 20, 2021, Governor Newsom issued Executive Order N-15-21, which affirmed that effective October 1, 2021, governing bodies of local public agencies could utilize teleconferencing for public meetings in accordance with the provisions of AB 361; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with Executive Order N-15-21, the Sacramento Groundwater Authority Board of Directors has met under California Government Code section 54953(e)(1)(B) to determine whether, as a result of the State of Emergency, meeting in person would present imminent risks to the health or safety of attendees; and

WHEREAS, conducting meetings by teleconference would directly reduce the risk of transmission among meeting attendees, including members of the public and agency staff, which has the ancillary effect of reducing risk of serious illness and death as well as reducing community spread of the virus;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Directors of the Sacramento Groundwater Authority hereby finds and determines as follows:

- 1. The Board has considered the circumstances of the State of Emergency declared by Governor Newsom; and**
- 2. Both State and local officials continue to recommend measures to promote social distancing.**

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that, pursuant to AB 361 and based on the findings above, all Authority Board meetings, closed session meetings, special meetings, and workshops will be held via teleconference in accordance with the provisions of California Government Code section 54953(e).

ADOPTED this 14th day of October, 2021 by the following vote:

AGENDA ITEM 3: PUBLIC COMMENT

AGENDA ITEM 4: CONSENT CALENDAR

Minutes of August 12, 2021 meeting

Action: Approve Consent Item

Attachments:

August 12, 2021 Meeting Minutes



1. CALL TO ORDER

Chair Ewart called the meeting of the Board of Directors to order at 9:00 a.m. as a teleconference meeting. Individuals in attendance are listed below:

Board Members

Audie Foster, California American Water
Paul Selsky, Carmichael Water District
Caryl Sheehan, Citrus Heights Water District
Marcus Yasutake, City of Folsom
Brett Ewart, City of Sacramento
Linda Dorn, County of Sacramento
Robert Matteoli, Del Paso Manor Water District
Randy Marx, Fair Oaks Water District
Paul Schubert, Golden State Water Company
Brett Gray, Natomas Central Mutual Water Company
John Wingerter, Orange Vale Water Company
Mary Harris, Rio Linda/Elverta Community Water District
Bob Wichert, Sacramento Suburban Water District
Ted Costa, San Juan Water District
Larry Johnson, Self-Supplied

Staff Members

Jim Peifer, Rob Swartz, Ryan Ojakian, Josette Reina-Luken, Cecilia Partridge, Monica Garcia and Chris Sanders, legal counsel

Others in Attendance

Michelle Banonis, Robert Reisig, Hilary Straus, Jeff Nelson, John Woodling, José Ramirez, Kevin Thomas, Tim Shaw, Cathy Lee, Dan York, Dave Jones, Greg Zlotnick, Alan Vail, Gina Bartlett, Rebecca Scott and Paul Helliker

2. PUBLIC COMMENT

None.

3. CONSENT CALENDAR

Minutes of the June 10, 2021 SGA Board meeting.

Motion/Second Carried (M/S/C) Mr. Schubert moved, with a second by Ms. Harris to approve the June 10, 2021 SGA Board meeting minutes. Paul Selsky, Carmichael Water District, Marcus Yasutake, City of Folsom, Brett Ewart, City of Sacramento, Linda Dorn, County of Sacramento, Robert Matteoli, Del Paso Manor Water District, Randy Marx, Fair Oaks Water District, Paul Schubert, Golden State Water Company, Brett Gray, Natomas Central Mutual Water Company, John Wingerter, Orange Vale Water Company, Mary Harris, Rio Linda/Elverta Community Water District, Robert Wichert, Sacramento Suburban Water District, Ted Costa, San Juan Water District and Larry Johnson, Self-Supplied voted yes. Audie Foster, California American Water and Caryl Sheehan, Citrus Heights Water District were not present when the vote was called.

4. SACRAMENTO CENTRAL GROUNDWATER AUTHORITY UPDATE

Mr. Peifer said that this item is to facilitate receiving input from the SGA Board members. A Special Board meeting is scheduled for September 8th to request authorizing the boards to move into Phase II, the development of potential governance. He gave an overview of the benefits and value of RWA and SGA working together as they have on the development of the Regional Water Bank and Regional Water Reliability Plan. The SCGA voted to enter into Phase II.

Gina Bartlett, facilitator with the Consensus Building Institute, provided the process steps for the proposed phases checking with each board as we progress. She explained each phase with the goal to identify issues and questions before moving to the next phase.

Mr. Peifer said some SGA members submitted comments or questions.

Mr. Woodling said the three agencies have been working on consolidation for more than two years and the SCGA is committed to moving forward.

5. SUSTAINABLE GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT ACT (SGMA) AND GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT PROGRAM UPDATE

Mr. Swartz gave a PowerPoint presentation update on the Sustainable Groundwater Management Act. Staff continues development of a GSA implementation agreement. A draft agreement is anticipated to be presented to the board at the October board meeting with a final agreement presented to the board for approval at the December meeting. He provided information on refining the undesirable results section. Undesirable results examples from DWR were given and he explained why the basin is considered sustainable. The projects and management actions section of the draft GSP needs to be finished with a review draft released to the public by the end of August. After completing the implementation agreement, the GSP and implementation agreement is expected to be adopted in December.

An update was given on how the groundwater basin is performing this year. Mr. Swartz explained that the Sacramento Regional Water Bank will constitute an

expansion of the existing conjunctive use program, which will be simulated with a model to demonstrate that the result of those new operations will provide a net benefit to the system. An environmental document will accompany those results and the completed Water Bank program will be integrated into the GSP 2027 update.

6. LEGISLATIVE UPDATE

Ryan Ojakian reported that AB 350 is the only bill related to groundwater that is still moving through the legislative process. The budget has been a primary focus for the Legislature. There has been \$660 million appropriated to the Department of Water Resources and signed into law for drought response. Portions of the \$660 million are funds for small and large community drought relief, multi-benefit projects, and SGMA implementation. Within the budget is \$730 million for drought response and \$440 million for climate resilience, both amounts have yet to be directed to a state agency for distribution or direction on how the funds are required to be used.

7. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT

Mr. Peifer's Executive Director's Report was included in the SGA Board meeting electronic packet.

8. DIRECTORS' COMMENTS

Mr. Yasutake reported that the City of Folsom is planning to issue a Stage Three water conservation stage, which is a 20% mandatory reduction in water use effective August 30th.

Chair Ewart said that it is unlikely that the City of Sacramento will move forward with groundwater substitution transfers this year.

Mr. Wichert said that Sacramento Suburban Water District has transferred funds to their water conservation budget and anticipate voluntary water use reductions.

ADJOURNMENT

With no further business to come before the Board, Chair Ewart adjourned the meeting at 11:15 a.m.

By:

Chairperson

Attest:

Josette Reina-Luken, Board Secretary/Treasurer

AGENDA ITEM 5: GROUNDWATER SUSTAINABILITY PLAN IMPLEMENTATION MEMORANDUM OF AGREEMENT

BACKGROUND:

West Yost has been working under contract to Placer County to prepare the Groundwater Sustainability Plan Implementation Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) among the North American Subbasin (NASb) Groundwater Sustainability Agencies. West Yost is currently working to resolve issues raised by legal counsels from agencies that will sign the agreement, so a full draft of the MOA is not currently available. A meeting of legal counsels is being scheduled for the week of October 19th, and a draft of the MOA is expected shortly thereafter. Brett Storey from West Yost and SGA staff will provide an update to the SGA Board.

Information and Discussion: Brett Storey, West Yost and Rob Swartz, Manager of Technical Services

AGENDA ITEM 6: DRAFT GROUNDWATER SUSTAINABILITY PLAN

BACKGROUND:

The North American Subbasin (NASb) Public Draft Groundwater Sustainability Plan was released for review on August 31st and is available at nasbgroundwater.org. Public comment will conclude on October 29th. Staff will provide an overview of some of more important components of the Draft GSP. Staff anticipates bringing the final GSP to the SGA on December 9th for adoption.

Presentation and Discussion: Rob Swartz, Manager of Technical services

AGENDA ITEM 7: GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT PROGRAM UPDATE

BACKGROUND:

Staff is continuing to monitor groundwater elevations in the SGA area. Most recently, semi-annual state-required monitoring was completed. Staff will provide an update to the Board on current groundwater elevation conditions.

Information and Discussion: Rob Swartz, Manager of Technical Services

AGENDA ITEM 8: SACRAMENTO CENTRAL GROUNDWATER AUTHORITY

BACKGROUND:

As SGA Board members are aware, one potential solution to providing staffing services to the SCGA would be to merge the SGA and SCGA. Many Board members have asked if there are alternatives to providing staffing services to the SCGA. Another question that has been raised is if RWA staff could staff the SCGA in its current form.

SGA and SCGA staff have discussed this with Isabel Safie from Best, Best and Krieger to see if there are other options. Additionally, Staff wanted to better understand the legal considerations or constraints to RWA providing staffing to an independent organization such as the SCGA. The discussion with Ms. Safie did identify potential alternatives, but there is more work to do to determine if alternatives are suitable to the authorities.

Another question that has been raised is who should be included in an ad hoc committee discussing matters that affect the SGA in this initiative. The SGA approved an MOA identifying the composition of a "3x3 Committee." Staff recommends the Board resolve participation on an ad hoc, or 3X3 committee.

Discussion: Jim Peifer, Executive Director

Attachments:

Q&A with Best, Best and Krieger
SGA, RWA and SCGA MOU

Question: Can you confirm that if the SCGA sought to become a CalPERS employer, it would be limited to a PEPRRA complaint contract and benefit, even for classic CalPERS employees?

BB&K Answer: That is correct. Any employer establishing a new CalPERS contract on or after January 1, 2013 is limited to the PEPRRA formulas for all employees, including classic employees. The only exception to this is for a contract that is established on or after January 1, 2013 as a successor to a contract that was in place prior to January 1, 2013. In that case, the successor contract is limited to the classic formula(s) offered under the contract that it is assuming.

Question: Can the RWA contract with the SCGA JPA to provide services and not run afoul of CalPERS pension requirements?

BB&K Answer: If the SCGA remains as an independent agency that directs the activities of the RWA staff, that staff would not accrue CalPERS-eligible retirement associated with the time spent working on SCGA tasks. An important test is if the "Common Law Employee Relationship" is broken. That test may fail if the RWA Employees are not under the direction of the RWA, but rather the SCGA as envisioned under this scenario. It should be noted that the test for a common law employee relationship is balanced among different criteria, but it would appear to be broken under this scenario.

Question: If SGA was to expand its JPA to include the City of Rancho Cordova and City of Elk Grove, would it have any bearing on maintaining the existing CalPERS contract?

BB&K Answer: Modifying the JPA would not change in any way the existing CalPERS relationship of SGA.

Question: If SGA was to expand its JPA to include the City of Rancho Cordova and City of Elk Grove, could new committees or councils be formed that are distinct to the North American and South American basins and not run afoul of the CalPERS requirements?

BB&K Answer: This will not run afoul of the CalPERS requirements and will not sever the common law employee relationship between SGA and its staff so long as the committees are a component of SGA and subordinate to the SGA Board of Directors. I recommend discussing this with the authority's general counsel to determine if the new authority along with the new committees would be able to accommodate SGMA requirements.

Question: Are there any other circumstances under which the RWA could provide staffing services to SCGA members (such as under an RWA subscription program) to implement a SGMA-compliant groundwater sustainability program while having RWA employees accrue CalPERS-eligible time? Under this scenario, the current SCGA JPA would dissolve and would be replaced by a MOA. Mutual members of the MOA and the RWA could establish a subscription program. Would that concept run afoul of the RWA maintaining a common law employee relationship or run afoul of the CalPERS pension requirements?

BB&K Answer: This scenario would not run afoul of CalPERS requirements if: (a) the subscription service is focused on a specific project rather than being open ended (e.g., the purpose of the subscription service is to implement a SGMA-compliant groundwater sustainability program; (b) the subscription service is provided to an RWA member; and (c) RWA staff is not involved in ongoing day to day operation of the member's program (involvement in the start-up phase is acceptable but the goal of the service should be to give the member the tools to manage the program itself). If the expectation here is that RWA will manage the program on an ongoing basis, then the entire program should be contracted

out to RWA to the extent permitted under the SGMA so that only RWA staff are involved in the operation of the program and under the sole direction of RWA management or its board of directors.

Question: Could you protect and indemnify the RWA under such a scenario?

BB&K Answer: I assume this question is in the context of the MOA between RWA and the participant in the subscription service. If so, this is a matter the terms of the MOA and I recommend that RWA seek the advice of its general counsel on how to protect the parties.

MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING FOR DEVELOPMENT OF A STRATEGY FOR COMMON OPERATIONS
of the REGIONAL WATER AUTHORITY, the SACRAMENTO GROUNDWATER AUTHORITY, and the
SACRAMENTO CENTRAL GROUNDWATER AUTHORITY

WHEREAS, water resources planning and implementation in the greater Sacramento area has benefitted considerably from collaborative and regional approaches to problem solving; and

WHEREAS, the REGIONAL WATER AUTHORITY (RWA), the SACRAMENTO GROUNDWATER AUTHORITY (SGA) and the SACRAMENTO CENTRAL GROUNDWATER AUTHORITY (SCGA), collectively referred to hereinafter as “the AUTHORITIES” have a common purpose in their formation to implement elements of the Sacramento Water Forum Agreement (Water Forum); and

WHEREAS, the RWA was formed in 2001 to assist its water purveyor members in implementing a regional conjunctive use program to meet commitments under the Water Forum; and

WHEREAS, the SGA was formed in 1998 to manage the groundwater basin underlying Sacramento County north of the American River (the North Area), in satisfaction of the Groundwater Management Element of the Water Forum; and

WHEREAS, the SCGA was formed in 2006 to manage the groundwater basin underlying a portion of Sacramento County south of the American River (the Central Area), in satisfaction of the Groundwater Management Element of the Water Forum; and

WHEREAS, the Water Forum is beginning a process to evaluate and revise the Agreement to reflect changes that have occurred in the two decades since its signing and provide a framework for the future; and

WHEREAS, the Sustainable Groundwater Management Act (SGMA), passed as state law in 2014 provides for additional responsibilities and authorities for groundwater management and will require coordination between the SGA and SCGA, which have elected to become Groundwater Sustainability Agencies, the responsible entities under SGMA; and

WHEREAS, the AUTHORITIES share a number of common water purveyor members that have seats on the Boards of Directors of two or three of the independent agencies; and

WHEREAS, the RWA and the SGA have entered into an agreement to share certain operational staff and costs; and

WHEREAS, the SCGA is seeking to enter into a similar agreement for RWA administration; and

WHEREAS, the AUTHORITIES recognize a potential to gain mutual benefit by exploring opportunities for shared approaches to operations;

THEREFORE, be it resolved, that the AUTHORITIES agree to work cooperatively towards an integration of SCGA into the existing joint operation of RWA and SGA, subject to the following framework and guiding principles.

1. Discussions and negotiations will be conducted by a “3x3” ad hoc committee consisting of the Chair and Vice Chair of RWA, SGA, and SCGA, as well as an additional member of the Board of

Directors of each. The makeup of each AUTHORITY delegation should include one individual who represents an entity that is exclusive to that AUTHORITY, i.e. not a member of more than one AUTHORITY.

2. The 3x3 ad hoc Committee will include and be staffed by the Executive Director of RWA-SGA and the Interim Executive Director of SGCA, with additional staff support as needed.
3. Updates on progress will be provided to the Board of Directors of each AUTHORITY periodically.
4. At the appropriate time and as needed, each AUTHORITY will be represented by and be responsible for its own attorney(s) and legal costs to review any agreement among the AUTHORITIES.
5. Operational and administrative as well as governance changes may be needed to implement an effective collaborative solution.
6. Any potential operational or governance agreement among the AUTHORITIES shall be beneficial to each in its own right, both financially and in terms of the level and quality of services provided.
7. Any potential operational or governance agreement among the AUTHORITIES shall strive to be beneficial, and be at least neutral, to each participating entity of each AUTHORITY, both financially and in terms of the level and quality of services provided.
8. Implementation of any operational or governance agreement shall be structured and phased in a manner that minimizes disruption of ongoing operations of each AUTHORITY.

AGENDA ITEM 9: APPOINTMENT OF NOMINATIONS COMMITTEE FOR 2022 SGA OFFICERS

BACKGROUND:

The SGA Board elects a chairperson and vice-chair annually at its December Board meeting. Chair Ewart will appoint an SGA Nominating Committee for 2022 SGA Officers.

Action: Chair Ewart to Appoint Nominations Committee for 2022 SGA Officers

AGENDA ITEM 10: EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT

OCTOBER 14, 2021

TO: SGA BOARD OF DIRECTORS

FROM: JIM PEIFER

RE: EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT

- a. SGA Outreach** – Mr. Peifer attended the ACWA Groundwater Committee meeting on July 22nd. On October 14th, Mr. Peifer will be participating in the Environmental Law Conference on a panel titled “If you build it...” to discuss storage and the Groundwater Bank.
- b. 2021 Annual Holiday Social and Awards** – Save the date for December 9, 2021 at the Blue Line Arts, 405 Vernon Street, Suite 100, Roseville, CA 95678 from 6 pm to 9 pm.
- c. Financial Reports** – The unaudited financial reports for the period ending September 30, 2021 are attached.

Attachments

- 1. Unaudited Financial Reports ending June 30, 2021.

California State Treasurer



Fiona Ma, CPA

Local Agency Investment Fund
P.O. Box 942809

Sacramento, CA 94209-0001
(916) 653-3001

October 07, 2021

[LAIF Home](#)

[PMIA Average Monthly.
Yields](#)

SACRAMENTO GROUNDWATER AUTHORITY

ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES MANAGER
5620 BIRDCAGE STREET, #180
CITRUS HEIGHTS, CA 95610

[Tran Type Definitions](#)

Account Number: 90-34-020

September 2021 Statement

[Account Summary](#)

Total Deposit:	0.00	Beginning Balance:	1,115,134.02
Total Withdrawal:	0.00	Ending Balance:	1,115,134.02



Per California Government Code 6505.5 (e), SGA reports the following unaudited information:

For the period ending September 2021

Cash in checking account:	\$	107,142
LAIF Balance	\$	1,115,134

For the period of July 1 to Sept 30, 2021

Total cash receipts for the period:	\$	627,707
-------------------------------------	----	---------

Total cash disbursements for the period:	\$	517,438
--	----	---------

AGENDA ITEM 11: DIRECTORS' COMMENTS