Attachment A



Sacramento Groundwater Authority 2021 - 2022 FYE Budget Summary

		Actual		Proposed		Projected]	Proposed	
		FY20		FY21		FY21		FY22	Notes
Operating Revenues									
Groundwater Fees	\$	401,509	\$	415,084	\$	415,084	\$	476,742	Increase in GW fees per unit from \$6.60 to \$7.10 per AF
Base Fee	\$	309,000	\$	327,572	\$	327,572	\$	377,570	Fifteen percent increase (\$10,605 to \$12,196 min. base fee)
Grant Income	\$	520,699	\$	270,000	\$	270,000	\$	145,000	Represents SGMA/GSP Development including retainage
Partner Fees	\$	53,230	\$	42,000	\$	72,000	\$	-	Reflects SGMA partner fees collected from participants
Interest Income	\$	20,553	\$	15,000	\$	21,955	\$	7,500	Adjusted in accordance with available cash
—		1 204 001	<i>•</i>	1.0.00.07.0		1 10 6 61 1	<i>•</i>	1.006.010	-
Total	\$	1,304,991	\$	1,069,656	\$	1,106,611	\$	1,006,812	-
Operating Expenses									
Staff - salary and benefits	\$	481,739	\$	602,949	\$	526,897	\$	-	Reflects 3.3 FTE (incl. 0.5 annuitant and 0.5 Proj. Mgr.)
Office	\$	57,298	\$	72,950	\$	63,111	\$	88,300	No significant changes expected in FY22
Professional Fees	\$	70,641	\$	164,350	\$	114,250	\$		Support & PM consulting
Other	\$	3,833	\$	11,400	\$	25,175	\$	3,600	Computer Purchases, Replacements, and Office Equipment
Special Projects	\$	630,845	\$	470,000	\$	505,000	\$	70,000	Reflects costs related to SGMA grant
Total Expenses	\$	1,244,356	\$	1,321,649	\$	1,234,433	\$	1,006,812	_
Fees in Excess of Expenses/(Expenses	¢	(0. (0.	¢	(251.002)	¢	(105,000)	¢		
in Excess of Fees)	\$	60,635	\$	(251,993)	\$	(127,822)	\$	(0)	=
Cash, beginning	\$	724,159	\$	784,794	\$	784,794	\$	656,972	
Source (Use) of Funds	.թ \$	60,635	ւ Դ	(251,993)	Տ	(127,822)	ф 2	(0)	
Source (Use) of Fullus	Φ	00,035	Φ	(231,993)	\$	(127,022)	Ф	(0)	<u>_</u>
Cash, ending	\$	784,794	\$	532,801	\$	656,972	\$	656,972	=

Attachment A



Sacramento Groundwater Authority 2021 - 2022 FYE Budget Summary

	Actual	Proposed	Projected	Proposed	Change in
	FY20	FY21	FY21	FY22	Designations
DESIGNATIONS	¢ 206 200	¢ 425 000	¢ 251 400	¢ 474 700	¢ 102.200
Operating Fund	\$ 306,300	\$ 425,800	\$ 351,400	\$ 474,700	\$ 123,300
Pension Plan	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
SGMA Implementation	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
GSP Update/Modeling	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 40,000	\$ 40,000
Maintain DMS	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Office Expansion/Relocation	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 20,000	\$ 20,000
Un-designated	\$ 396,325	\$ 107,001	\$ 305,572	\$ 122,272	\$ (183,300)
	\$ 702,625	\$ 532,801	\$ 656,972	\$ 656,972	\$ (0)
No. of months cash pays for operations	14.1	5.5	11.2	7.9	

Proposed 2021 - 2022 FYE Budget Summary



Major Assumptions

Revenues

- 1) For Fiscal Year 2022, minimum base fee is set @ \$12,196 plus \$1.58 per connection for connections over 6,000. The groundwater fee is \$7.10 per AF.
- This is an approximate 15% fee increase overall. Each agencies FY22 fee is different depending upon their groundwater use and number of connections.
- 2) Groundwater fees reflects increased pumping.
- 3) Base fees are projected at 15% higher from last year. The base fee covers approximately 44% of costs.
- 4) Assumes 5 year acre foot average groundwater pumped of: 67,147
- 5) A new grant for SGMA actions, including GSP Development started in FY19.
- 6) Interest income has decreased in accordance with cash balance and LAIF performance.

Expenses

- 1) Staffing costs includes 50% cost share of RWA Non-WEP administrative staff (total 2.0 FTE), partial use of the Project Assistant (.20), ten percent of RWA Legislative Affairs Manager position (.10 FTE), 0.5 FTE retired annuitant and six months of an Associate Project Manager (0.5 FTE) for a total of 3.3 FTE.
- 2) Benefits include employer PERS, medical, vision, dental, disability insurance, OPEB and workers' compensation for 2.8 FTEs (excludes annuitant). FY 2022 budget increase in medical costs of 6.5%, other costs at 3% and specific increases to OPEB costs. FY22 includes a small contingency to address expenditure overages in these areas. Employees pay their entire 7% of their employee portion of PERS pension.
- 3) SGA continues to budget for additional payments towards its unfunded pension plan. A CalPERS revised January 2021 letter estimates the June 30, 2021 balance at \$74,528 (decreased from prior estimate at \$94,530) at a 7.0% discount rate. This balance is based on the June 30, 2019 Valuation Report and does not include calendar year 2020 performance. Based on a projected lower rate of return in 2020, the CalPERS unfunded liability has been budgeted at no change from the prior year.
- 4) Professional fees include public relations, human resources, audit, accounting, actuarial, and legal expenses. It also includes higher actuarial costs due to the biennial evaluation report needed in FY22.
- 5) Program consultants for FY22 includes updating the basin management report, monitoring water quality levels, maintaining the data management system, and groundwater modeling.
- 6) Special projects reflects the costs associated with the DWR grants related to the SGMA.
- 7) Leasing costs began increasing in FY19 due to a renegotiation of the lease on the Birdcage building; a 10% increase was applied in FY21.
- 8) General cost increases were projected at 3%, 5% for professional services, or lower unless specific cost increases could be identified.

Expenses in Excess of Fees

1) For FY22, SGA has a balanced budget where revenues meets expenses. If actual expenses are higher or lower than prjected, then the difference will be applied to or taken from the undesignated fund balance accumulated from previous years.

Designations/Restrictions

- 1) Excluding any SGMA costs, the Operating fund is projected to be over 7.9 months for FY22, which exceeds policy guidelines.
- 2) There are no remaining designated funds for the initial SGMA grant as of FY21. The 5-Year GSP Update designation begins in FY22.

FY23 and Beyond

- 1) A projected increase of approximately 8% is anticipated in FY23 and FY24; then declining to 6% in FY25 and FY26.
- 2) Future fee increases are contingent upon GSP requirements, updated valuation reports, office location, etc. which are not completely known at this time.

Attachment A and B SGA Proposed FY 22 Budget

Budget and	nrojection	results may	i not he	achieved
Buuget and	projection	results may		acmeveu

4 of 15

Proposed FY' 202	1-20	SG 22 OPERA	G BUDGE	ΓР	ROJECTI	ON						5-Year I	Proj	ection		
		SGA FY 20 Per Audit	SGA FY 21 Budget	I	SGA FY 21 Projected	-	SGA FY 22 Proposed Budget	NOTES		SGA Projected FY 23]	SGA Projected FY 24]	SGA Projected FY 25]	SGA Projected FY26
ANNUAL REVENUES																
GW Fee FY 21 Final Budget		\$6.00	\$6.60		\$6.60											
Proposed GW Fee FY 22 Budget							\$7.10	1		\$7.66		\$7.93		\$7.97		\$7.94
Proposed Base Fee		\$10,100	\$10,605		\$10,605		\$12,196	2		\$13,120		\$14,430		\$15,870		\$17,450
Proposed Per Connection Fee		\$1.31	\$1.37		\$1.37		\$1.58	2		\$1.74		\$1.91		\$2.10		\$2.31
Proposed Fee Increase %		0%	 4%		4%		15%			8%		8%		6%		6%
OPERATING REVENUES									Г							
General Assessments/Fees																
→ Groundwater fees	\$	401,509	\$ 415,084	\$	415,084	\$	476,742	1	\$	530,000	\$	565,000	\$	585,000	\$	600,000
→ Base Fee	\$	309,000	\$ 327,572	\$	327,572	\$	377,570	2	\$	395,299	\$	434,291	\$	477,564	\$	525,267
→ SGMA Grant Income	\$	520,699	\$ 270,000	\$	270,000	\$	145,000	3								
→ SGMA Partner Fees	\$	53,230	\$ 42,000	\$	72,000	\$	-	3								
Interest/Misc Income	\$	20,553	\$ 15,000	\$	21,955	\$	7,500	4	\$	7,500	\$	7,000	\$	5,000	\$	5,000
TOTAL REVENUE	\$	1,304,991	\$ 1,069,656	\$	1,106,611	\$	1,006,812		\$	932,799	\$	1,006,291	\$	1,067,564	\$	1,130,267
STAFF EXPENSES (General):																
Staff Salaries/Wages	\$	341,470	\$ 394,777	\$	367,716	\$	455,941	5	\$	513,905	\$	537,521	\$	557,199	\$	577,619
Benefits	\$	89,674	\$ 136,400	\$	98,064	\$	159,750	6	\$	168,289	\$	177,824	\$	187,798	\$	198,368
Pension - Unfunded Liability	\$	14,500	\$ 26,700	\$	26,700	\$	26,700	7	\$	20,000	\$	20,000	\$	20,000	\$	20,000
Payroll Taxes	\$	27,318	\$ 31,582	\$	29,417	\$	36,472	8	\$	41,112	\$	43,002	\$	44,576	\$	46,209
Meals/Travel/Conferences	\$	8,777	\$ 10,240	\$	3,000	\$	11,000	9	\$	11,350	\$	11,800	\$	12,150	\$	12,500
Professional Development/Training	\$	-	\$ 3,250	\$	2,000	\$	4,000	10	\$	6,000	\$	6,500	\$	7,000	\$	7,500
TOTAL STAFF EXPENSES	\$	481,739	\$ 602,949	\$	526,897	\$	693,862		\$	760,657	\$	796,647	\$	828,723	\$	862,196

Proposed FY' 202	21-20	SG 22 OPERA		NG BUDGE	L J	PROJECTI	ON	Ň					5-Year l	Proj	jection		
		SGA FY 20 Per Audit		SGA FY 21 Pudget		SGA FY 21		SGA FY 22 Proposed Budgot	NOTES		SGA Projected FY 23		SGA Projected FY 24		SGA Projected FY 25]	SGA Projected FY26
OFFICE EXPENSES:		rer Audit		Budget		Projected	-	Budget									
Rent & Utilities Contract	\$	15,891	\$	17,800	\$	17,515	\$	5 27,800	11	\$	27,800	\$	27,800	\$	28,225	\$	28,225
General Liability Insurance	\$	15,226	\$	17,800	۰ \$		ۍ \$,	11	\$ \$	16,995	\$		\$	18,030	۰ ۶	
Office Maintenance	\$	13,220	\$ \$	350	ۍ \$		3 \$		12	\$ \$	500	\$ \$	17,505 500	\$	500	\$ \$	18,571 500
	\$	1,361	\$ \$	1,600			3 \$		13	\$ \$		\$ \$	1,900	\$			2,100
Postage and Postal Meter		,	\$ \$,	\$				14		1,800		,		2,000	\$	
Telephone/internet/web hosting Meetings	\$ \$	6,119 969	\$ \$	8,000	\$ \$		\$ \$		15	\$ \$	1,950 1,500	\$ \$	2,050	\$ \$	2,150	\$ \$	2,250
8	\$	5,665	\$ \$	1,300	ۍ \$		3 \$,	17	\$ \$	11,500	\$	1,800	\$ \$	1,700	\$ \$	· · · ·
Printing/Supplies/Copier Dues & Subscriptions	\$		\$ \$	6,000	ۍ \$			\$ 6,300	17	\$ \$	6,600	\$	7,000	\$ \$	7,300	\$ \$	12,400 7,600
1	\$	5,763	\$ \$	2,900	ۍ \$		1 9		18	\$ \$	3,100	\$	3,200	\$		\$ \$	
Computer hardware/software		6,304	\$ \$	9,200	ۍ \$		1 9		20	\$ \$	12,750	\$	13,000	\$	3,300 13,250	\$ \$	3,400
Computer maintenance TOTAL OFFICE EXPENSES	\$ \$	57 ,298	\$ \$	9,200 72,950	ۍ ۲		ں ج		20	ծ Տ		\$ \$		⇒ \$		\$ \$	13,500 90,346
TOTAL OFFICE EXPENSES	3	57,298	3	72,950	J.	63,111	3	00,300		9	84,495	Э	86,355	3	88,555	3	90,340
PROFESSIONAL FEES:																	
SGA Legal	\$	11,644	\$	42,000	\$	34,000	\$	6 44,100	21	\$	46,300	\$	48,600	\$	51,000	\$	53,550
Audit Fees and GASB report	\$	26,481	\$	14,050	\$		\$	-	22	\$	14,650	\$	17,000	\$	17,500	\$	18,000
ADP & Banking Fees	\$	1,965	\$	1,200	\$		\$		23	\$	1,700	\$	1,800	\$	1,900	\$	2,000
SGA Support Services	\$	30,551	\$	47,100	\$	30,000	\$	5 51,000	24	\$	61,200	\$	58,900	\$	58,300	\$	58,300
SGA Consultants - Program Mgmt	\$	-	\$	60,000	\$	35,000	\$	5 40,000	25	\$	41,600	\$	43,300	\$	35,000	\$	36,800
TOTAL PROFESSIONAL FEES	\$	70,641	\$	164,350	\$		\$	5 151,050		\$	165,450	\$	169,600	\$	163,700	\$	168,650
		,		,		,	Γ	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			,		,		,		,
TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	\$	609,678	\$	840,249	\$	5 704,258	\$	§ 933,212		\$	1,010,602	\$	1,052,602	\$	1,080,978	\$	1,121,192
OTHER EXPENSES:							-										
Office furniture/remodel/equip	\$	3,833	\$	1,400	\$	· · ·	\$	5 3,600		\$	1,500	\$	1,600	\$	1,700	\$	1,800
Office Move					\$	· · · ·				\$	20,000						
Computer Server	¢	2.022	\$	10,000	\$,	ſ	2 (00		¢	31 500	¢	1 (00	0	1 700	¢	1 000
TOTAL Other Expenses	\$	3,833	\$	11,400	\$	25,175	\$ \$	/		\$	21,500	\$	1,600	\$	1,700	\$	1,800

Attachment A and B SGA Proposed FY 22 Budget

Proposed FY' 202	1 20	SG			гт	DOIECTI	ON					5-Year l	Pro	jection		
		SGA FY 20 Per Audit		SGA FY 21 Budget		SGA FY 21 Projected		SGA FY 22 Proposed Budget	NOTES	SGA Projected FY 23		SGA Projected FY 24		SGA Projected FY 25		SGA Projected FY26
Special Projects Expenses				0		0	\$	-								
SGMA Grant Expenses	\$	630,845	\$	470,000	\$	505,000	\$	70,000	26							
SGMA/GSP Cost Share Designation			\$	-					32				\$	70,000	\$	60,000
TOTAL Special Proj. Expenses	\$	630,845	\$	470,000	\$	505,000	\$	70,000					\$	70,000	\$	60,000
TOTAL EXPENSES	\$	1,244,356	\$	1,321,649	\$	1,234,433	\$	1,006,812	27	\$ 1,032,102	\$	1,054,202	\$	1,152,678	\$	1,182,992
Net Income (Loss)	\$	60,635	\$	(251,993)	\$	(127,822)	\$	(0)	28	\$ (99,303)	\$	(47,911)	\$	(85,114)	\$	(52,725)
CACH CUDALADY																
CASH SUMMARY	•	724 150	•	704 704	•	704 704	0	(5(072	20	(() (•			500 750	0	124 (15
AVAILABLE CASH, Beginning SOURCE (USE) OF FUNDS	\$ \$	724,159 60,635	\$ \$	784,794 (251,993)	\$	-) -	\$ \$	656,972	29	\$ 656,972 \$ (99,303)	\$ ¢	557,669 (47,911)	\$	<u>509,758</u> (85,114)	\$ \$	424,645 (52,725)
CASH, Ending	\$ \$	784,794	ծ Տ	532,801	⊅ \$	(/ /	ծ \$	(0) 656,972		\$ (99,303) \$ 557,669	ծ Տ	509,758	\$ \$	424,645	\$ \$	371,920
	¢	704,794	Þ	332,001	Þ	030,972	3	030,972		\$ 337,009	3	309,730	3	424,045	3	3/1,920
DESIGNATIONS																
Operating Fund (four to six mos)	\$	306,300	\$	425,800	\$	351,400	\$	474,700	30	\$ 478,209	\$	435,066	\$	424,645	\$	371,920
Pension Plan Assignment	\$	-	\$	-	•		\$	-	31	\$ -	\$		\$	_	\$	-
Groundwater Modeling	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-		\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
SGMA Implementation	\$	82,169	\$	-			\$	-		\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Update/Modeling GSP	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	40,000	32	\$ 40,000	\$	40,000	\$	-	\$	-
Maintain DMS to SGMA	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-		\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Office Expansion/Relocation	\$	-	\$	-			\$	20,000			\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Un-designated	\$	396,325	\$	107,001	\$	/	\$	122,272		\$ 39,460	\$	34,692	\$	-	\$	-
CASH IN BANK, Ending	\$	784,794	\$	532,801	\$		\$	656,972	33	\$ 557,669	\$	509,758	\$	424,645	\$	371,920
No. of months cash pays for oper.		14.1		5.5		11.2		7.9		6.1		5.5		4.8		4

SGA FY 2021-2022 BUDGET PROJECTION NOTES

- SGA general assessment fees methodology splits the fee into two parts: a base fee based upon the number of connections per agency and a per acre foot fee based upon a trailing five-year average volume of groundwater pumped. This portion represents the per acre foot fee component. Overall, SGA is proposing to increase fees by 15%. The groundwater per unit fee is proposed to increase to \$7.10 in FY22. A trailing five-year pumping average is used (FY16-FY20). As the amount of acre-feet pumped declines, revenues will decline, even though operations are independent of groundwater pumping. Consequently, the fee per acre feet must increase to continue to pay for existing services.
- 2 SGA total base fees are calculated using the number of connections per agency, plus a minimum base fee. The proposed base fee is to be calculated as follows: a minimum base fee of \$12,196 plus \$1.58 per connection for connections in excess of 6,000. For each subsequent year, the base fee is set to increase as the overall expenses increases, exclusive of special project costs as these can vary year to year. The share of fees paid for by base fees may need adjusting from time to time to achieve or target a minimum of 40% fees supported by base fees.
- 3 SGA received a new grant from DWR for SGMA actions, including GSP development and should be completed by January 31, 2022. SGMA partner fees are collected from the other four Groundwater Sustainability Agencies in the North American Subbasin to assist in funding the consultant work to complete the SGMA program activities.
- 4 Interest income from the SGA Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF) account. As available cash decreases, interest earned is expected to decrease.
- 5 For budget purposes, staff salaries include 50% of four full time positions, 20% of the assistant project manager, 10% of the legislative affairs manager, a retired annuitant at .5 FTE and six months of an full-time Associate Engineer (.5 FTE) for a total of 3.3 FTEs.
- 6 Benefits include employer PERS, medical, vision, dental, disability insurance, OPEB and workers' compensation for staff members. FY 2022 budget anticipates an increase in medical costs of 6.5% and other costs at 3%. In FY19, classic employees began paying their entire 7% share of CalPERS contribution.
- 7 Represents the estimated payment of the allocated unfunded pension liability to SGA over four years at an estimated 7.0% discount rate. A revised CalPERS letter estimates the unfunded liability balance of \$74,528 at June 30, 2019. Since this liability is being paid over a shorter time frame of 4 years, SGA can expect swings, both positive and negative as the liability is recomputed to reflect the actual results compared to assumptions. Due to unknown effect of FY20 market performance, CalPERS unfunded liability payment will remain the same as in FY22.
- 8 Payroll taxes for eight staff members (3.3 FTEs).

- 9 Includes meal costs. Also includes conference attendance and the associated travel costs (transportation, lodging, meals).
- 10 Includes computer training and other professional development classes.
- 11 In accordance with the building rental lease. RWA's lease includes full use of the Board room. The RWA Board approved a new lease on the Birdcage building on January 11, 2018 which ends in August 2023 at \$1.10 per SF. Due to staff expansion, additional funds have been added for a short-term office expansion and a designation for a future office relocation.
- 12 Increase in costs for property and liability coverage obtained through ACWA JPIA forecasted for the coming year.
- 13 Includes costs for office maintenance needs.
- 14 Reflects mailing activities and cost of postage machine rental.
- 15 Includes telephone conference call costs, web hosting for the website and internet service costs.
- 16 Miscellaneous meeting charges including food/refreshments.
- 17 Includes printing costs for letterhead. Also includes copier maintenance and copier lease costs.
- 18 Major cost component is ACWA dues. Other dues include AWWA, Groundwater Resources Association, Water Education Foundation and Sacramento Metro Chamber of Commerce. Subscriptions include Business Journal.
- 19 Acquisition of new hardware/software to replace aging and out-of-date components including additional work station for new staff.
- 20 General computer maintenance service includes monthly end-user support, cloud back up, VPN access, MS Teams, and VOIP phone system.
- 21 Legal expenses in support of general SGA board meetings, resolutions, regulatory analyses, services related to contracts, and GSP development. Legal fees are projected to be higher due to the implementation of SGMA.
- 22 Audit fees are set by the awarded proposal. A new contract with Gilbert Associates started in FY19. The audit costs shown as quoted for five years.
- 23 Payroll service costs for 8 employees (3.3 FTE).
- 24 Represents actuarial, budgeting and accounting assistance, website, and human resource/recruiting support.
- 25 Fees for groundwater management program implementation. (See separate program consulting budget).
- 26 Consulting expenses for grant-funded SGMA actions, including GSP development. Matching funds to pay for costs also come from SGA and SGMA partners.

- 27 Total expenses for SGA.
- 28 Represents the difference between total monies received versus total expenses incurred during the year.
- 29 Available cash is derived from Beginning Cash Balance plus the Source (Use) of Funds verified in prior year audit report.
- 30 Represents the operating fund designation to pay for operating expenditures. Per SGA policy #400.2, this fund range target is four to six months of operating expenses. Government Code Section 53646(b) (3) suggests that an agency should have sufficient cash flow to meet the next six months of budgeted expenses. Cash reserves can be used to pursue new grant opportunities unknown during the budget development. This calculation is based upon the ending cash in bank. It does not include special project expenses funded by grants or one time expenditures.
- 31 CalPERS provides estimated unfunded liabilities for pooled agency members, such as SGA. SGA began making their share of the liability payment starting in FY17. The pension plan assignment had set aside amounts to make partial payments towards this unfunded pension liability in future years. SGA's revised estimated unfunded liability balance at June 30, 2019 is approximately \$74,528 reflecting the liability discounted at 7.0%. This estimate was based upon the CalPERS communication letter reflecting the most recent payment of \$26,700 in FY21. Since SGA now budgets an annual payment towards the unfunded liability, SGA will not also set aside an assignment for these ongoing payments.
- 32 Designation for future 5-Year GSP Updates
- 33 Amount of cash over and above designation policy "undesignated cash."

Shared SGA consulting with RWA

Budget Line	Projected FY20	Projected FY21	Projected FY22	Projected FY23	Projected FY24	Projected FY25
Budget/audit support	72,000	62,000	65,000	67,600	69,600	71,600
Actuarial Services	10,000	4,000	8,500	4,200	8,900	4,500
Human Resources	1,000	5,000	5,000	10,000	12,500	12,500
Consulting Expenses - General	10,000	23,100	23,500	25,500	26,800	28,000
Salary survey/Recruiting		-		15,000	-	
Shared SGA consulting	93,000	94,100	102,000	122,300	117,800	116,600
1/2 to SGA	46,500	47,100	51,000	61,200	58,900	58,300

SGA Consulting Budget Approved and Proposed					4-	-Year Pro	oject	ion (1)						
	SGA FY 20 Per Audit	Approved FY21 + designatior	Projected FY 21	ojected FY 22	I I	proved FY22 signation		rojected FY 23	pproved FY23 esignation	rojected FY 24	oproved FY24 signation	rojected FY 25	rojected FY 26	NOTES
Outside Consultant Assistance - Projec	ts													
Annual Basin Management Report	\$ -	\$ 10,000	\$ 10,000	\$ 20,000			\$	21,000		\$ 22,100		\$ 23,200	\$ 24,400	1
Update GSP	\$ -	\$ 10,000	\$ 10,000		\$	20,000			\$ 20,000		\$ 20,000	\$ 40,000	\$ 40,000	2
Monitor water quality/levels (AB 303)	\$-	\$ 10,000	\$ -	\$ 5,000			\$	5,300		\$ 5,600		\$ 5,900	\$ 6,200	3
Maintain/Improve DMS	\$ -	\$ 10,000	\$ -	\$ 5,000			\$	5,300		\$ 5,600		\$ 5,900	\$ 6,200	4
Pursue short-term banking/exchange	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	5
Regional contamination issues	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	6
Groundwater modeling	\$ -	\$ 20,000	\$ 15,000	\$ 10,000	\$	20,000	\$	10,000	\$ 20,000	\$ 10,000	\$ 20,000	\$ 30,000	\$ 20,000	7
Subsidence Monitoring	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	8
TOTAL PROGRAM	\$ 54,400	\$ 60,000	\$ 35,000	\$ 40,000	\$	40,000	\$	41,600	\$ 40,000	\$ 43,300	\$ 40,000	\$ 105,000	\$ 96,800	

(1) Assumes 5% annual increase in consulting labor costs (rounded to nearest \$100)

(2) Beginning in FY22, a \$20,000 annual designation begins in order to fund FY25 GSP Update.

(3) Beginning in FY22, a \$20,000 annual designation begins in order to fund modeling for FY25 GSP Update.

SGA Program FY 2021-2022 BUDGET PROJECTION NOTES

- 1 SGA had traditionally prepared a biennial Basin Management Report as part of its Groundwater Management Program. The 2014 Sustainable Groundwater Management Act (SGMA) will require annual reporting. In prior years, SGA anticipated a report for the SGA area only. Beginning in FY22, an annual budget is assumed to pay for SGA's portion of an annual report for the North American Subbasin (NASb).
- 2 SGMA requires a Groundwater Sustainability Plan (GSP) for the NASb be submitted by January 31, 2022. In anticipation of preparing a single GSP with the other NASb Groundwater Sustainability Agencies (GSAs), SGA has been collecting funds for its contribution to funding the effort, which ended in FY21. However, funding is also being identified in the out years to prepare for expenses related to GSP development and 2025 GSP Update.
- 3 This funding is to respond to any monitoring needs for issues that emerge of a regional interest during the course of the fiscal year.
- 4 Consulting support to enter data and perform maintenance on the Data Management System (DMS). SGMA requires development of a common database for the NASb. As of FY21, there are no remaining designated funds for SGMA, expenses are budgeted accordingly on an annual basis.
- 5 Much of this work has been assigned over to the RWA Regional Water Reliability Plan (RWRP), so no projected expenses are identified at this time.
- 6 This activity is currently coordinated through the SGA Regional Contamination Issues Committee, and the intent is to have the planning funded by responsible parties and will be coordinated with RWA IRWMP effort. These funds will allow for consultant staff to support meetings as needed on regional contamination such as the McClellan Stakeholder's Forum and the Regional Contamination Issues Committee. As of FY21, there are no remaining designated funds for SGMA, expenses are budgeted accordingly on an annual
- 7 SGMA will require additional modeling work in the NASb to determine the sustainable yield and water budget for the basin. SGA budgeting for a model update started in FY17. As of FY21, there are no remaining designated funds for SGMA, annual expenses are budgeted accordingly. However, funding is also being identified in the out years to prepare for expenses related to GSP development
- 8 Subsidence monitoring is a required component of GSPs developed under SGMA. There are currently no projected expenses identified. Potential monitoring expenses will be identified, if any, during development of the NASb GSP.

TOTAL WATER DELIVERIES 2016-2020

WATER PURVEYOR	YEAR	Surface	Ground	Total Water Deliveries	Retail
	1	Water	Water	Deliveries	Connections
California American WC	2020	2,783	8,870	11,653	26,770
	2019	1,522	9,241	10,763	20,770
	2018	1,456	9,609	11,065	
	2017	2,017	9,203	11,220	
	2017	217	10,102	10,319	
Carmichael Water District	2020	5,018	3,496	8,514	11,703
	2019	11,084	2,307	13,391	
	2018	10,674	2,947	13,621	
	2017	10,903	2,597	13,500	
	2016	6,277	1,419	7,696	
Citrus Heights Water District	2020	10,826	1,473	12,299	19,818
	2019	10,746	359	11,105	19,010
	2018	9,776	1,842	11,618	
	2017	10,746	713	11,459	
	2017	9,522	1,173	10,695	
		-)-	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	- ,	
Del Paso Manor Water District	2020	0	1,341	1,341	1,799
	2019	0	1,158	1,158	
	2018	0	1,226	1,226	
	2017	0	1,239	1,239	
	2016	0	1,128	1,128	
	2020	0.050	2 0 (0	11.107	14.200
Fair Oaks Water District	2020	8,259	2,868	11,127	14,390
	2019	7,260	2,138	9,398	
	2018	6,539	3,151	9,690	
	2017	6,187	3,389	9,576	
	2016	7,703	998	8,701	
Folsom, City of	2020	1,180	0	1,180	1,075
· · ·	2019	1,113	0	1,113	
	2018	1,114	0	1,114	
	2017	1,118	0	1,118	
	2016	1,060	0	1,060	
	2020				
Golden State Water Company	2020	0	935	935	1,752
	2019	0	840	840	
	2018	0	836	836	
	2017	0	854	854	
	2016	0	793	793	
Natomas Central Mutual Water	2020	24,248	907	25,155	200
	2019	33,400	68	33,468	200
	2019	33,400	0	33,400	
	2013	33,400	0	33,400	
	2017	33,400	0	33,400	

TOTAL WATER DELIVERIES 2016-2020

WATER PURVEYOR	YEAR	Surface	Ground	Total Water	Retail
		Water	Water	Deliveries	Connections
Orange Vale Water Company	2020	3,981	0	3,981	5,685
orange vale water company	2019	3,607	0	3,607	5,005
	2019	3,974	0	3,974	
	2013	3,846	0	3,846	
	2017	3,408	0	3,408	
		-)		- ,	
Rio Linda/Elverta CWD	2020	0	2,867	2,867	4,642
	2019	0	2,439	2,439	
	2018	0	2,506	2,506	
	2017	0	2,458	2,458	
	2016	0	2,236	2,236	
	2020	5 222	22.075	20.200	17 (10
Sacramento, City of	2020	5,323	23,075	28,398	47,649
	2019	9,374	19,401	28,775	
	2018	6,726	23,495	30,221	
	2017	6,382	23,728	30,110	
	2016	11,811	17,151	28,962	
Sacramento, County of	2020	0	5,092	5,092	3,330
Sucramento, County of	2019	ů 0	4,582	4,582	5,550
	2019	0	4,817	4,817	
	2013	0	4,756	4,756	
	2017	0	4,064	4,064	
Sacramento Suburban WD	2020	4,016	32,406	36,422	46,573
	2019	17,247	13,363	30,610	
	2018	10,450	20,423	30,873	
	2017	11,462	19,791	31,253	
	2016	11,448	17,863	29,311	
San Ivan Watan District	2020	2 206	0	2 200	2 41 6
San Juan Water District	2020	3,306	0	3,306	3,416
	2019	2,807	0	2,807	
	2018	2,380	0	2,380	
	2017	2,530	0	2,530	
	2016	2,677	0	2,677	
TOTAL		429,703	335,733	765,436	188,802

Retail Connections FY21	Retail Connections FY22]	Base Fee	FY 22 Groundwater Average Extraction Acre Feet (2016 - 2020)	G	roundwater					F	Y21 to	% Diff
26,307	26,770	\$	45,013	9,405	\$	66,776	\$	111,789	\$	101,437	\$	10,352	10.21%
11,694	11,703	\$	21,207	2,553	\$	18,128	\$	39,335	\$	34,279	\$	5,056	14.75%
19,819	19,818	\$	34,028	1,112	\$	7,895	\$	41,923	\$	36,042	\$	5,881	16.32%
1,799	1,799	\$	12,196	1,218	\$	8,651	\$	20,847	\$	18,265	\$	2,582	14.14%
14,241	14,390	\$	25,452	2,509	\$	17,812	\$	43,264	\$	35,820	\$	7,444	20.78%
1,102	1,075	\$	12,196	-	\$	-	\$	12,196	\$	10,605	\$	1,591	15.00%
1,752	1,752	\$	12,196	852	\$	6,046	\$	18,242	\$	16,018	\$	2,224	13.88%
200	200	\$	12,196	195	\$	1,385	\$	13,581	\$	10,794	\$	2,787	25.82%
5,809	5,685	\$	12,196	-	\$	-	\$	12,196	\$	10,605	\$	1,591	15.00%
4,640	4,642	\$	12,196	2,501	\$	17,759	\$	29,955	\$	26,112	\$	3,843	14.72%
47,145	47,649	\$	/	21,370	\$	/	\$	/	\$	/	\$		18.24%
3,328	3,330	\$	12,196	4,662	\$	33,102	\$	45,298	\$	39,785	\$	5,513	13.86%
47,525	46,573	\$	76,301	20,769	\$	147,461	\$	223,762	\$	197,992	\$	25,770	13.02%
3,416	3,416	\$	12,196	-	\$	-	\$	12,196	\$	10,605	\$	1,591	15.00%
188,777	188,802	\$	377,570	67,147	\$	476,742	\$	854,312	\$	742,656	\$	111,656	15.03%
	Connections FY21 26,307 11,694 19,819 1,799 14,241 1,102 1,752 200 5,809 4,640 47,145 3,328 47,525 3,416	Connections FY21 Connections FY22 26,307 26,770 11,694 11,703 19,819 19,818 1,799 1,799 14,241 14,390 1,102 1,075 1,752 1,752 2000 2000 5,809 5,685 4,640 4,642 47,145 47,649 3,328 3,330 47,525 46,573 3,416 3,416	Connections FY21 Connections FY22 I 26,307 26,770 \$ 11,694 11,703 \$ 19,819 19,818 \$ 1,799 1,799 \$ 14,241 14,390 \$ 1,752 1,752 \$ 200 200 \$ 5,809 5,685 \$ 4,640 4,642 \$ 47,145 47,649 \$ 3,328 3,330 \$ 47,525 46,573 \$ 3,416 3,416 \$	Connections FY21 Connections FY22 Base Fee 26,307 26,770 \$ 45,013 11,694 11,703 \$ 21,207 19,819 19,818 \$ 34,028 1,799 1,799 \$ 12,196 14,241 14,390 \$ 25,452 1,102 1,075 \$ 12,196 1,752 1,752 \$ 12,196 5,809 5,685 \$ 12,196 4,640 4,642 \$ 12,196 4,640 4,642 \$ 12,196 4,7,145 47,649 \$ 78,001 3,328 3,330 \$ 12,196 47,525 46,573 \$ 76,301 3,416 3,416 \$ 12,196	Retail Connections FY21 Retail Connections FY22 Base Fee FY22 Groundwater Average Extraction Acre Feet 26,307 FY22 8 45,013 9,405 11,694 11,703 21,207 2,553 19,819 19,818 34,028 1,112 1,799 1,799 12,196 1,218 14,241 14,390 25,452 2,509 1,102 1,075 12,196 852 200 200 12,196 195 5,809 5,685 12,196 195 5,809 5,685 12,196 - 4,640 4,642 12,196 - 4,640 4,642 12,196 - 4,640 4,642 12,196 - 3,328 3,330 12,196 4,662 47,525 46,573 76,301 20,769 3,416 3,416 12,196 - 188,777 188,8802 377,570 67,147	Retail Connections FY21Retail Connections FY22Groundwater Average Extraction Acre FeetSu G Extraction Acre Feet26,30726,770\$45,0139,405\$26,30726,770\$21,2072,553\$11,69411,703\$21,2072,553\$19,81919,818\$34,0281,112\$1,7991,799\$12,1961,218\$1,7991,795\$12,196\$\$1,7521,752\$12,196\$\$1,7521,752\$12,196\$\$200200\$12,196\$\$200200\$12,196\$\$4,6404,642\$12,196\$\$4,6404,642\$12,196\$\$3,3283,330\$12,1964,662\$47,52546,573\$76,30120,769\$3,34163,416\$12,196\$\$3,34163,416\$377,57067,147\$	Retail Connections FY21Retail Connections FY22Retail Base FeeGroundwater Average Extraction (2016 - 2020)Suplemental GroundwaterFY21FY22 $FY22$ $FY23$ $FY23$ $FY23$ $FY23$ FY21FY22 $FY23$ $FY23$ $FY23$ $FY23$ FY21FY22 $FY23$ $FY23$ $FY23$ FY21FY22 $FY23$ $FY23$ $FY23$ FY21 $FY23$ $FY23$ $FY23$ $FY23$ FY21 $FY23$ $FY23$ $FY23$ $FY23$ FY21 $FY23$ $FY23$ $FY23$ $FY23$ $FY21$ $FY23$ $FY23$ $FY23$ $FY23$ $FY23$ $FY23$ $FY23$ $FY23$ $FY23$ $FY31$ $FY23$ $FY23$ $FY23$ $FY23$ $FY31$ $FY32$ $FY32$ $FY32$ $FY33$ $FY32$ $FY33$ $FY330$ $FY330$ $FY330$ $FY32$ $FY330$ $FY330$ $FY330$ $FY330$ $FY32$ $FY330$ $FY330$ $FY330$ $FY330$ $FY32$ $FY330$ $FY330$ $FY330$ $FY330$ $FY332$ $FY330$ $FY330$ $FY330$ $FY330$ $FY3332$ $FY330$ $FY330$ $FY330$ $FY330$ $FY344$ $FY324$ $FY330$ $FY330$ $FY330$ <	Retail Connections FY21 Retail Connections FY22 Retail Connections FY22 Base Fee Fee Groundwater Average Extraction (2016 - 2020) Supplemental Groundwater Fees at \$7.10 / Acre Feet Fees AF 26,307 26,770 \$ 45,013 9,405 \$ 66,776 \$ 26,307 26,770 \$ 45,013 9,405 \$ 66,776 \$ 11,694 11,703 \$ 21,207 2,553 \$ 18,128 \$ 19,819 19,818 \$ 34,028 1,112 \$ 7,895 \$ 1,799 1,799 \$ 12,196 1,218 \$ 8,651 \$ 1,102 1,075 \$ 12,196 . \$. \$ 1,102 1,075 \$ 12,196 . \$. \$ 1,102 1,075 \$ 12,196 . \$. \$ 1,102 1,075 \$ 12,196 . \$. \$.	Retail Connections FY21Retail Connections FY22Base Fee Base FeeGroundwater Extraction Acre FeetSupplemental Groundwater Fees at S7.10/ Fees $FY 2021-2022$ Total Estimated Fees26,30726,770\$ $45,013$ $9,405$ \$ $66,776$ \$ $111,789$ 26,30726,770\$ $45,013$ $9,405$ \$ $66,776$ \$ $111,789$ 11,69411,703\$ $21,207$ $2,553$ \$ $18,128$ \$ $39,335$ 19,81919,818\$ $34,028$ $1,112$ \$ $7,895$ \$ $41,923$ 1,7991,799\$ $22,5452$ $2,509$ \$ $17,812$ \$ $43,264$ 1,1021,075\$ $12,196$ 5.656 \$ $12,196$ \$ $3,364$ $3,581$ 5,8095,685\$ $12,196$ 5.651 \$ $12,196$ $15,727$ \$ $229,955$ 47,14547,649\$ $76,301$ $20,769$ \$ $17,759$ \$ $229,728$ $3,328$ $3,330$ \$ $12,196$ $4,662$ \$ $33,102$ \$ $229,728$ $47,525$ $46,573$ \$ $76,301$ $20,769$ \$ $147,461$ \$ $223,762$ $47,525$ $46,573$ \$ $76,301$ $20,769$ \$ $147,461$ \$ $223,762$ $47,525$ $46,573$ \$ $76,301$ $20,769$ \$ $147,461$ \$ $223,762$ $47,525$ $46,573$ \$ $76,301$	Retail Retail Base Fee Groundwater Supplemental $FY \ 2021 - 2022$ $FY \ 2021 - 2022$ A FY21 FY22 FY 20 Fee $FY \ 2021 - 2022$ A FY21 FY22 Fee Fee $FY \ 2021 - 2022$ A FY21 FY22 Fee Fee $FY \ 2021 - 2022$ $FY \ 2021 - 2024$	Retail Connections FY21Retail Connections FY22 B_{3se} FeeGroundwater Average Extraction Acre Feet $SuplementalGroundwaterFees at $7.10/Acre FeetFY 2021-2022total EstimatedFeesActual FY2021 Fees26,307FY20(2016-2020)Fees at $7.10/Acre FeetFeesFeesFees26,30726,770$45,0139,405$66,776$11,1789$101,43726,30726,770$21,2072,553$18,128$39,335$34,27911,69411,9789$21,2072,553$18,128$34,02836,042$36,0421,79919,818$34,0281,112$7,895$41,923$36,0421,79919,818$22,54522,509$17,812$43,264$36,0421,1021,075$12,1961,218$66,046$18,242$10,6051,17521,1752$12,196195$1,385$13,818$10,0741,1621,1752$12,196195$1,385$13,818$10,0741,1021,075$12,1961,370$13,818$10,0741,1021,075$12,1961,570$29,078$10,0741,1021,075$12,1$	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Retail Connections FY21 Retail Connections FY22 Retail Base Fee FY22 Groundwate Average Extraction Acre Feet Supplemental Groundwate Fees at \$7.10 / Acre Feet FY 2021-2023 Total Estimate Fees Actual FY 2021 Fees S Diff from FY21 to Proposed FY21 FY22 Actual FY Supplemental Fees at \$7.10 / Acre Feet Fees Supplemental Fees FY 2021-2023 Actual FY FY 21 to Proposed 26,307 \$740 \$ C3016 - 2020 \$ Supplemental Fees Supplemental Fees Supplemental Fees Supplemental Fees Supplemental Fees Supplemental Fees FY 2021-2023 Actual FY FY 21 to Proposed Function FY 21 Fees Fees

Notes:

(1) Retail connections are based on SGA boundaries or service area boundaries that are dependent upon SGA for management of the groundwater basin. Information derived from information collected from members and subject to refinement.

(2) Minimum base fee is set @ \$12,196 plus \$1.58 per connection for connections over 6,000. The base fee is set to increase annually by the overall percentage of expense increase for administrative costs. The groundwater fee is \$7.10 per AF.